IRO Certificate #4599

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

July 10, 2002

Re: IRO Case # M2-02-0675-01 Texas Worker's Compensation Commission: has been certified as an independent review organization (IRO) and has been authorized to perform independent reviews of medical necessity for the Texas Worker's Compensation Commission (TWCC). Texas HB. 2600, Rule133.308 effective January 1, 2002, allows a claimant or provider who has received an adverse medical necessity determination from a carrier's internal process, to request an independent review by an IRO. In accordance with the requirement that TWCC assign cases to certified IRO's, TWCC assigned this case to ____ for an independent review. ____ has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. For that purpose, received relevant medical records, any documents obtained from parties in making the adverse determination, and any other documents and/or written information submitted in support of the appeal. The case was reviewed by a physician who is Board Certified in Neurological Surgery. He or she has signed a certification statement attesting that no known conflicts of interest exist between him or her and any of the treating physicians or providers, or any of the physicians or providers who reviewed the case for a determination prior to referral to for independent review. In addition, the certification statement further attests that the review was performed without bias for or against the carrier, medical provider, or any other party to this case. reviewer who reviewed this case has determined that, based on the medical records provided, the requested treatment is not medically necessary. Therefore, agrees with the adverse determination regarding this case. The reviewer's decision and the specific reasons for it, is as follows: History This case involves a now 39-year-old female who was injured on when she was helping a person who fell. The patient strained her left shoulder, and soon had severe pain in her shoulder and mid-back. Over the next many months the patient

was treated conservatively, without success. An MRI of the cervical spine showed

degenerative disk disease, primarily at the C4-5 and C5-6 levels. Cervical discography showed significant concordant pain at C5-6, and some significant

discomfort when C4-5 was injected. Based on the patient's continued discomfort, and the MRI and cervical discography findings, a C4-5 and C5-6 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion was recommended.

Requested Service

C4-5 and C5-6 anterior cervical discectomy and fusion.

Decision

I disagree with the carrier's decision to deny the requested anterior cervical discectomy and fusion.

Rationale

On discographic evaluation, significant concordant pain was produced at the C5-6 level, and pain was produced at the C4-5 level. This coupled with the MRI findings at the C4-5 level suggests pathology at that level also. Exhaustive conservative measures have not been helpful. There is a strong possibility at least that this surgery will be beneficial.

This medical necessity decision by an Independent Review Organization is deemed to be a Commission decision and order.

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Worker's Compensation Commission, P O Box 40669, Austin, TX 78704-0012. A copy of this decision should be attached to the request.

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute (Commission Rule 133.308(t)(2)).

Sincerely,

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4 (b), I hereby certify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) decision was sent to the carrier and the requestor or claimant via facsimile or US Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 12th day of July 2002.